

**Journal #3114**

**from sdc**

**5.16.14**

*“What About Those Promises”*

*Days Gone By: In 1910 library endower Andrew Carnegie speaks at Berkeley's Greek Theatre*

*New book to depict California's missions as 'death camps'*

*Eight reasons you should pee in your garden*

*Illegal Park Service projects damage sacred site*

*Weekend Calendar*

*Alice Piper Memorial*

*Eagle Dancers*

*Most Complete Ice Age Skeleton Helps Solve Mystery of First Americans*



[Anne Susan](#) shared [Cheryl Hix's photo](#).

Prayer and blessing for a plentiful pinenut harvest this year by the Walker River Paiute people of Schurz,

Nevada...amongst the beautiful sage. Thank you all for doing this for the people...all my relations/family.

\*\*\*\*\*

I was recently privileged to attend the first performance of a historic stage play entitled “**What About Those Promises.**” The play, presented by the Lummi Nation told the story of the Lummi’s historical relations with the United States Government, beginning with the 1855 Treaty of Point Elliott. This was a tale of unfulfilled promises, but also one of intense pride in the Lummi way of life...as documented through stories, artifacts, archival records, and photographs —expressions of cultural heritage, that in some instances, has been digitally repatriated to the tribe from local historical organizations, including my own, WWU, and now managed by the

**Lummi Nation Archives.** This was a powerful moment for the Bellingham community as we came to a common understanding of our shared past, and I was honored to be a witness to this important cultural event. I'll play a short trailer that features the play and then tell the broader story of my organization's collaboration with the Lummi Nation and other regional tribes, and my evolution in thinking about cultural heritage, indigenous knowledge, and the stewardship of Native American materials.

The 1855 Treaty of Point Elliott was one of many treaties that year exchanged large areas of land in the West to the U.S. government who promised cash, reservations, health care and schools. The 82 Coast Salish leaders who signed the treaty in the winter of that year, also reserved the rights of their people to fish, harvest and hunt in their "usual and accustomed grounds." The treaty was ratified on April 11, 1859 and quickly set off a historical battle for fishing rights, with Indigenous peoples increasingly restricted from fishing or exerting their rights under the treaty. In 1974, Judge George Boldt issued a decision that affirmed the rights of Indian tribes in Washington State as specified under the Point Elliott Treaty. But for the Lummi this fight continues, and in many instances, documentation central to the history of this struggle is archived in non-tribal archives, museums and libraries.

Our small intersection with this story relates to the Native American material held in Western's archival and special collections, and in our early efforts, in the 1990's, to collaborate with the Lummi Nation Archives. I do not claim that my thoughts or interpretation of this story reflects the viewpoint of the Native American Tribes I may mention. It merely reflects the evolution of my own thinking and education as I've searched for guidance in ethical and moral practice when working with native American cultural materials, as well as honoring indigenous knowledge in the stewardship of these resources.

So, I want to begin with a bit of background about my organization and the collections we hold. I am the **Director of Heritage Resources at WWU**. This division encompasses the University Archives, Records Center, the Libraries' Special Collections and the Center for Pacific NW Studies. The Center, in particular, collects materials documenting economic, social, cultural and political trends significant to the Pacific Northwest. And with that mission, The CPNWS has acquired a substantial body of cultural heritage materials relevant to the history and culture of Indigenous peoples throughout the NW. These materials came to us in several ways, but primarily this is documentation collected by others and donated to Western—either by local and regional historians with an interest native tribal culture, or faculty who conducted academic research while associated with the University. And, I am certain this provenance trajectory is familiar to many of you in this audience.

Those who accumulated these collections of photographs, oral histories, research materials, and survey information considered it their right to donate these materials to WWU, but I would speculate that the acquisition and assemblage of these resources was rarely conducted with the free and informed consent of the individuals involved. There were no formal or informal agreements about who could (or would) access this documentation and the participants were certainly not aware that the information they provided might one day be available through Western's open access policies. Nor, could they anticipate the advent of the Internet. Even in instances where the transfer appears voluntary, there may have been an underlying element of coercion or false promises made to participants, particularly in relation to the early anthropological studies and surveys. Often the contextual information we obtained upon

acquisition was biased, unbalanced, or incomplete. In particular, documentation developed by local historians was prejudiced by a limited understanding of the cultures from which it was appropriated. As such, the ethical management of these collections presents a range of concerns, including the need for tribal knowledge and perspective to correct any misinterpretation, the development of a balanced and fair record of documentation, and the determination of appropriate levels of access for culturally sensitive information—and by that I mean information that is not considered to be in the public domain by associated tribal members.

**At the 2003 WIPO meeting, the Tulalip Tribes of Washington delivered a statement on Folklore, Indigenous Knowledge and the Public Domain that stated, and I paraphrase here-- In tribal culture-- “Knowledge is a gift from the Creator. There is no public domain in traditional knowledge. Although individuals might hold knowledge, their right is collectively determined, and it is rare that individuals have the right to use knowledge in a free and unconstrained manner. They are bound by the laws of their tribe and of the Creator. Even knowledge shared and used widely does not fall into the public domain.”**

When knowledge is shared, it is shared among those who are trusted to know their roles and responsibilities. Misuse of this knowledge can cause severe physical or spiritual harm to the individual caretakers of the knowledge, or their entire tribe. For this reason, misappropriation and misuse is not simply a violation of “moral rights” leading to a collective offense, but a matter of cultural survival for many indigenous peoples.”

Obviously, this perspective is not reflective of the Western legal tradition, particularly as it pertains to archival principals of ownership, access, and use. The Protocols for Native American Archival Materials developed in 2006 by Native and non-Native representatives, attempts to address this collision of ideals by promoting an understanding of indigenous values and perspectives and by articulating contexts for Native American materials. The summary provided the introduction highlights several legal and policy areas that emerged in their discussions.

These are:

- The importance of consultation with and concurrence of tribal communities in decisions and policies.
- The need to recognize and provide special treatment for culturally sensitive materials. (ie. the removal of works, reclassification, intentional non-preservation)
- Rethinking public accessibility and use of some materials
- The role of intellectual and cultural property rights
- The need to consider copying, sharing and/or repatriation of certain materials
- The recognition of community-based research protocols and contracts
- Reciprocal education and training
- Raising awareness of these issues within the profession.

As you know, the archival profession is hardly in agreement on the intent and purpose of the Protocols and several of my colleagues have written persuasively on this issue. I won't focus on that broader discussion here, but I will suggest that in the absence of endorsed protocols, I believe it is incumbent on those of us with significant holdings of Native American materials to develop internal guidelines or best practices for the management of these resources. These efforts create unique and beneficial alliances that can grow into important sustainable partnerships over time. But how do we successfully collaborate when often our core beliefs, such as intellectual freedom, ownership, intellectual control, and open access can have different

meanings across cultural groups? How do we build and maintain digital collections that reflect accurate indigenous viewpoints? That balance access to heritage resources within the context of cultural protocols, and that incorporate sensitive and appropriate approaches to knowledge management through cataloging, metadata, and the use of technology? While I don't have the answers to these questions, I can share my organization's early efforts to address some of these concerns, again noting that this is an ongoing learning process.

As an overview, **my department holds resources related to over 30 tribes and nations from throughout the Pacific Northwest, British Columbia and Alaska represented in nearly 20% of our holdings.** In the 1990's we began putting our finding aids on line, first on our website and later as a partner in the **Northwest Digital Archives**. And, we were also actively digitizing our photograph collection. Thus, we were aware that our collections would have a much higher regional and national profile in an online environment.

One of our heaviest used collections is comprised of the of research material assembled by Howard Buswell, a self-trained historian. The collection includes unique historical documentation of the Northwest corner of Washington State from the time of the first contact through the middle of the twentieth century. For much of his life, Buswell lived adjacent to the Lummi Reservation and was obsessively interested in Lummi culture. In 1975, his brother donated his papers to WWU with a significant body of source material related to the Lummi Nation and its peoples.

The collection includes oral history interviews with pioneers and tribal elders, photographs, maps, census documentation, reservation land allotments, court cases, and Buswell's handwritten notes about Lummi culture, art, history and genealogy. At the point of donation, few members of the Lummi Nation were aware that this collection documenting their history was housed at Western, a University built on their traditional lands.

**As we were developing descriptive metadata, we realized that the information compiled by Buswell was in many instances inappropriate, particularly for dissemination over the Internet. Simultaneously, the Lummi's were developing their tribal archives and cultural center and were seeking relevant documentation in regional repositories. With assistance from the Lummi Nation Archives and other tribal leaders we learned a great deal about the sensitivity and cultural importance of several collections in our holdings to the Lummi Nation.**

Our first collaboration involved the scanning of photographs in the Buswell collection for deposit in the Lummi Nation Archives. The MOU we developed states that the project is designed to "facilitate and increase access for the Lummi Nation to certain photographs in the CPNWS collections. Employees of the Lummi Nation have identified approximately 60 photographs as valuable both culturally and historically to the Lummi community. This project will allow for the identified photos to be scanned by Lummi Nation Archives employees--on site. These photographs can be used (with restriction as detailed below) at the Lummi Archives."

On the positive side, I believe we were doing some of the first digital repatriation in the region. On the negative side, the MOU was quite strident concerning restrictions that limited access, use, citation, and publication of images identified by the Lummi community as culturally relevant, including photographs depicting their ancestors and internal cultural practices. In retrospect, this was a first step, but also suggests that we still had a lot to learn.

In the development of the finding aid, we also attempted to address concerns of context and balance. For example, the scope and content note states that "researchers must pay particular

attention to the fact that in his collecting efforts and in his writings Buswell provides an interpretation of history that reflects his own biases and the time period in which he lived.”

This project quickly led to another important **collaboration between the Lummi Nation and the Center for Pacific Northwest Studies**. In 1998, Stacy Rasmus, of the Lummi Language and Cultural Department described and digitally reformatted the Buswell oral history interviews that were recorded on fragile reel to reel tape. Stacy was a MA student in WWU’s Anthropology Department and a Lummi by descent.

That project also addressed the Northwest Tribal Oral History Collection, a set of recorded interviews conducted by two professors of history with elders and members of Native American tribes throughout Washington State. The recordings focus on a range of topics, including language, religion, education, genealogy, songs, hunting and fishing, and political activity. With the assistance of the Lummi Indian Business Council, Stacy transcribed some of the interviews and produced numerous abstracts for online access. Collaboratively, we chose not to transcribe certain interviews based on content, and for others she created very brief abstracts that essentially serve to limit access. The Lummi Nation provided duplication services with each organization receiving access copies of the tapes and the transcripts. But, more importantly, the Center received critical tribal knowledge in support of our descriptive efforts. The MOU we developed remained equally onerous, but going back to the files, I note it was never signed.

**The Northwest Ethnohistory Collection** is another body of sensitive materials that is heavily used by researchers, including representatives from tribal organizations. This artificially assembled collection was created by several generations of Western anthropology professors and was archived in the department for many years. Upon acquisition it augmented several other faculty research archives on Native American history and culture. The collection totaling 72 lf of material, documents the social life and customs of numerous cultural groups throughout the NW, BC and Alaska. It includes ground breaking research on language, material culture, legends, rites and ceremonies, as well as indigenous fishing, hunting, and whaling practices. There is also significant research on government relations, social conditions, the legal status of tribes, land tenure and treaties. The most sensitive records are anthropological studies on kinship and family organization, courtship, marriage, divorce, morality, and sexuality. Often this information was acquired through household surveys and is deeply personal in nature. Those involved assumed or were promised that their responses would not be available for public access, but there is no documentation to that effect. Because of commitments made to the Anthropology Department, and the value of this archive to tribal organizations and others, we have opted to keep the collection open, but we have restricted access permanently to the certain surveys. Destruction is a possibility, but not one we have taken yet.


I want to mention one final collaborative effort that involved the repatriation of original materials to the **Stillaquamish Tribe**. In 2007, we returned a Record Book listing tribal enrollments from 1924 to 1967. In this case, our contact was a consultant from the **Skagit Tribe** who was assisting the Stillaquamish in the development of their tribal archive. In her research, she discovered the record book in our holdings and recognized the importance of the documentation in establishing tribal membership status, and thus a source of considerable value for their newly formed Tribal Archive. After consultations with tribal representatives, we developed our first transfer agreement. That document states, “The CPNWS hereby transfers the materials listed below to the Stillaquamish Tribe to be recognized as the absolute and unrestricted property of the tribe.” In this instance the decision to repatriate was obvious and somewhat simplified by the fact that we were transferring to an established tribal archive. In this case, a government to government transfer.

In conclusion, I will confess that our quest to provide responsible and sensitive stewardship of indigenous cultural resources has not been without challenges and difficulties, and it continues to be a learning process. To date, we have not developed a written policy for dealing with Native American Archival Materials, but instead, we've responded to requests, discoveries, and concerns on a case by case basis. Over the years, that response has recognized the importance of consultation with tribal communities, the need to provide special treatment for culturally sensitive materials, the incorporation of indigenous knowledge in the development of metadata and other descriptive information, rethinking public accessibility and use of some materials, and the digital and physical repatriation of holdings. **But, most importantly, as the Lummi elders stated so eloquently in the video, it involves working together and continuing to learn together.**

Elizabeth Joffrion, Director of Heritage Resources at WWU

[Elizabeth Joffrion <Elizabeth.Joffrion@wwu.edu>](mailto:Elizabeth.Joffrion@wwu.edu)

*I believe your comments on this article would be most welcome by the author. sdc*



\*\*\*\*\*

## **Days Gone By: In 1910 library endower Andrew Carnegie speaks at Berkeley's Greek Theatre**

By Nilda Rego Correspondent, TriValley Newspaper [nildarego@comcast.net](mailto:nildarego@comcast.net).

Andrew Carnegie had been giving away his fortune for many years by the time he paid a visit to California in 1910. Most of the money was going to build libraries.

By the time he was finished, he had funded \$1.68 million in California alone.

On March 13, he and his party came to a concert at the Greek Theatre at UC Berkeley.

Carnegie, who had been known for his ruthless treatment of workers at his steel mills, now was redeeming his reputation with his gifts. His efforts to expand the nation's library system to the public started in 1886. He had already given an impressive amount of money for libraries in Oakland, Berkeley, Alameda and San Francisco.

"A crowd equaling in numbers the great throngs that have filled the Greek theater on the occasions of the concerts by Sousa's band and the visits of President Taft and Theodore

Roosevelt attended the half hour of music this afternoon in the hope of seeing Andrew Carnegie, steel king and donor of libraries. The crowd numbered almost 10,000 and broke all records of the Sunday afternoon concerts," the San Francisco Call reported.

Carnegie was introduced at the intermission. He took off his "rough gray hat" and during his speech waved it around to emphasize his points.

"When I came to California I expected to see many new things and many strange things, many things that would arouse my wonderment. But the sight that is before my eyes at this moment is beyond anything that I had imagined.

"It is good to be here and see you all. This is a wonderful event in my life, something that I can carry away with me, something that may make me a better man. Out here in the open air I look out upon thousands of you worshipping under the blue sky and the trees and with the song of birds in your ears, the God of all outdoors. This is large and fine and wonderful and a good place to worship.

"I think this great audience out in the air, listening to find music in these wonderful surroundings is characteristic of California, just as your university is characteristic of California. This university sets an example to all other universities. It is truly universal. It is universal not only in what it teaches, but in whom it teaches. Here the humblest citizen of your great state may gain an education. That is as it should be. That is true democracy and true education," Carnegie said.

Before his appearance at the Greek Theatre, the Carnegie party traveling in two touring cars, stopping in Oakland to visit the library he funded at 14th and Grove streets.

The Call reported that he seemed pleased to see his portrait hanging on the wall. He took time to introduce himself to two 8-year-olds in the children's area of the library.

**"I am fond of the children. I build libraries for them. We ought to take care of the children's education while they are young. America leads the world in its care of children, and I am proud to say that Pittsburgh (Pennsylvania, site of Carnegie's mills) is in the van."**

\*\*\*\*\*

### **New book to depict California's missions as 'death camps'**

**By Terri Morgan, Santa Cruz Sentinel 05/10/2014**

Speaking before about 100 people Saturday at the American Indian Resource Center at UC Santa Cruz, Elias Castillo, author of "A Cross of Thorns: The Enslavement of California's Indians by the Spanish Missions," said in reality the missions were "death camps."

"More than an estimated 62,000 Native Americans died within California's 21 missions," said Castillo, a former San Jose Mercury News reporter. "Indigenous people were held captive and treated brutally by Franciscan friars from 1769 to 1833."

The talk was hosted by the Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Costanoan/Ohlone Indians as part of the twice-yearly Mutsun speaker series.

Castillo, whose book will be released early next year by Linden Publishing in Fresno, began working on the project after a 2004 bill was introduced by U.S. Sen. Barbara Boxer, D-Calif., to fund restoration work at the missions. While language in the successful bill supported what California school children are generally taught -- that missions were beautiful places where benevolent Franciscan friars invited Native Americans to live with them, be introduced to Christianity and become educated -- Castillo felt that wasn't factual.

"I took extreme umbrage at that statement," Castillo said. In response, he wrote an opinion piece for the editorial pages of the San Francisco Chronicle describing the missions as places where Native Americans were enslaved, beaten and whipped for attempting to leave -- and died by the thousands from malnutrition and disease.

"That's the terrible dark secret of the missions," he said.

The op-ed piece resonated with many people, and the positive response prompted Castillo to write a book setting the record straight. Castillo scoured mission archives housed in Santa Barbara and at the Bancroft Library at UC Berkeley, as well as books and other material stored at the Dr. Martin Luther King Library, Jr. in San Jose and at Stanford's Green Library. He uncovered meticulous records, detailing the names of Native Americans who had violated mission rules. The records included the date and the punishment administered, including the number of lashes they received or the time they spent in stocks. He also discovered letters written by Father Junipero Serra, who is often celebrated as the learned priest who launched the mission system.

Castillo disputes that assessment. After reading reports written by the priest, he concluded that Serra was a terribly deranged man.

"What amazed me was the letters I found," Castillo said.

One letter written by Serra in 1775 instructed a Spanish governor to whip "troublemakers" and offered to send shackles to serve as an example to others, according to Castillo. In another letter written that year, Serra described the spiritual site of the missions as developing "most happily. In (Mission) San Antonio where (there) are simultaneously two harvests at one time, one for wheat, and of a plague among the children who are dying."

Castillo's book is welcomed by many Native Americans, including Val Lopez, chairman of the Amah Mutsun Indians Tribal Band of Coastanoan/Ohlone Indians. Lopez, who grew up hearing stories of Native Americans poisoned by missionaries and mothers killing their children at birth to prevent them from being enslaved under such brutal conditions, said his tribe has been hoping for centuries that the real story would be told.

"Our tribe has been waiting for this, (for someone) to tell the truth since the missions were closed," Lopez said. "Our history has ... been lost for more than 200 years and thought to be unimportant."

Follow reporter Terri Morgan at [Twitter.com/SoquelTerri](https://twitter.com/SoquelTerri).

\*\*\*\*\*

<http://www.lifehack.org/articles/lifestyle/8-reasons-why-you-should-pee-your-garden.html>



## **Illegal Park Service projects damage sacred site**

By [RYAN J. FOLEY](#) — May. 10, 2014 10:40 AM EDT

IOWA CITY, Iowa (AP) — National Park Service officials approved \$3 million in illegal construction projects over a decade that damaged one of the nation's most sacred American Indian burial sites, but few have been punished for the bureaucratic failure, records show.

Phyllis Ewing, the former superintendent of Effigy Mounds National Monument, and subordinate Tom Sinclair repeatedly ignored laws that required archaeological studies and input from tribes before building boardwalks, trails and a maintenance shed at the federally protected site in northeast Iowa, according to investigation documents posted this week on the park service's website.

Regional officials in Omaha, Nebraska, uncovered the violations in 2009 but waited years to take disciplinary action against Ewing, whose attorney told The Associated Press she was fired Feb. 28 and is contesting the decision.

While no human remains were affected, one tribal leader complained that officials treated his ancestors' cemeteries "as places to walk your dog," records show. Another said the projects were "monuments to government stupidity."

The Mississippi River Valley site was created by President Harry Truman in 1949 to preserve "a significant phase of mound building culture of prehistoric American Indians." It contains 200 mounds — some shaped like bears and birds — affiliated with 12 tribes.

National Park Service employees blamed Ewing and Sinclair for pursuing the illegal developments in a misguided effort to make the site more accessible to those with disabilities. Some also blamed a lack of oversight for allowing construction that removed stone artifacts and impacted scenic views.

"We've tried to understand how a park can behave so badly. Wherever they had a chance to screw up, they did," one regional official said in a 2011 investigative interview, adding the actions "destroyed the park and it will take decades of hard work" to repair the damage.

The agency hasn't removed two of three boardwalks, years after acknowledging they wouldn't have been built had employees followed the National Historic Preservation Act and other laws.

Jeff Ruch, executive director of Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility, said Ewing oversaw "a stunning pattern of cultural resource desecration."

"The park service may have set a new record in dithering by waiting five years to take any kind of formal action in a case that's this outrageous," said Ruch, who uncovered the records through the Freedom of Information Act.

Ewing's attorney, Mike Carroll, said the park service is making her a scapegoat to avoid deeper examination of what went wrong, saying she denies misconduct.

Agency spokeswoman Patty Rooney said that the "principal staff persons involved are no longer employed."

Former park employee Timothy Mason filed a 2010 complaint that sparked the criminal investigation by park service special agent David Barland-Liles after whistleblowers gave him information. The U.S. Attorney's Office declined to press civil or criminal charges against anyone in 2012.

A lifelong park user, Mason called on the agency to "remove all of that illegal, obtrusive junk." Rooney said the boardwalks' future would be decided soon.

The documents included a 2010 memo sent by Regional Director Ernest Quintana to the National Park Service Director Jon Jarvis warning that the illegal construction "had major, adverse impacts to cultural landscapes and to aboriginal American Indian structures that (the site) was established to protect."

Quintana later told Barland-Liles that he kept Ewing as superintendent after the 2009 audit uncovered widespread violations, saying he wanted to give her the chance to help the park recover and prove herself as a manager.

Quintana, now retired, said he didn't consider firing Ewing because she had "no devious design to do something wrong." In 2010, she agreed to transfer to a non-supervisory position in Omaha rather than resign, he said.

Carroll said Ewing's recent firing was based on allegations that she failed to perform duties and follow guidelines dating to her tenure as superintendent, which began in 1999. She's pursuing an internal complaint alleging gender and age discrimination.

Ewing believed that subordinates were carrying the projects out legally and has taken responsibility for inadvertent errors, defense attorney Guy Cook said.

Sinclair, the former maintenance chief who recently left the agency, has no comment, his wife said. He urged prosecutors to "have mercy" in a 2012 interview with Barland-Liles, saying Ewing put him in charge of compliance even though he wasn't familiar with the law.

\*\*\*\*\*

## **Weekend Calendar**

**[Spring Dance](#)** May 17 @ 9:30 AM - 4:40 PM Chaw'se Grinding Rock State Park,  
14881 Pine Grove-Volcano Rd., Pine Grove, CA United States [+ Google Map](#)  
Event is free. Parking is \$8. Contact Indian Grinding Rock Association, (209) 296-7488.  
[Find out more »](#)

## **[12th Annual California Indian Market at Black Oak Casino Resort](#)**

May 17 @ 10:00 AM - 5:00 PM Black Oak Casino Resort,  
19400 Tuolumne Road North, Tuolumne, CA United States [+ Google Map](#)

Sales, demonstrations, presentations, traditional foods, and more! Artists are by invitation only. 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. Free. For more information, visit [www.blackoakcasino.com](http://www.blackoakcasino.com) or email Jennifer Bates at [jbates@blackoakcasino.com](mailto:jbates@blackoakcasino.com). Find out more »

### **3rd Annual Eagle and Condor Intertribal Powwow**

May 17 @ 11:00 AM - May 18 @ 6:00 PM

De Anza Park, Ontario, CA 91762 United States+ Google Map

Saturday 11 a.m. to 10 p.m., Sunday 11 a.m. to 6 p.m. For more information, contact Bill Neal at (909) 226-5263 or [elkwhistlebn@gmail.com](mailto:elkwhistlebn@gmail.com). Find out more » \$6.00

### **Rock Art Mysteries**

May 18 @ 8:00 PM - 9:00 PM Maidu Museum and Historic Site,

1970 Johnson Ranch Dr., Roseville, CA 95661 United States+ Google Map

Guided tour of ancient petroglyphs by lantern light. 8 to 9 p.m. \$6. Find out more »

\*\*\*\*\*

**More from Heyday** [www.newsfromnativecalifornia.com](http://www.newsfromnativecalifornia.com)

*(Ed note: NPR recently featured a story about the Mendez family of Orange, Ca, whose court case is one preceeding Brown v Board of Education. Here is another. sdc)*

### ***ALICE PIPER MEMORIAL***

#### ***“Fighting for Education, A Paiute Student Breaks Down Barriers”***

Alice Pipe, daughter of Pike and Annie Piper, was a 15 year-old Paiute girl living in Big Pine, CA, in 1924. She, along with other Indian students in Big Pine, wanted to attend Big Pine High School, but was denied because state law prohibited Native Amerians’ attendance if an Indian School was nearby. Piper sured the school district claiming the state law establishing separate schools for Indian children was unconstitutional

The State Supreme Court ruled in her favor. Due to this historic action, the Big Pine School District and Alice Piper are memorialized as major players in the contstitutional battle over the rights of Native Americans to attend public schools and has been used as a precedent in other cases such as Brown vs Board of Education. Piper v Big Pine (1924) 193 CAL 664

***A Memorial Statue is being created but websites quoted did not work. sdc***

\*\*\*\*\*

**[Eagle Wings Dance Group](#)**

Great Basin dances

YouTube

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Most Complete Ice Age Skeleton Helps Solve Mystery of First Americans**

A nearly complete skeleton found in Mexico is at least 12,000 years old, offering...

National Geographic|By Glenn Hodges

\*\*\*\*\*

**<http://themetapicture.com/famous-photos-from-a-different-angle/>**